

Policy # 00155

Original Effective Date: 05/23/2005 Current Effective Date: 08/12/2024

Applies to all products administered or underwritten by Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and its subsidiary, HMO Louisiana, Inc. (collectively referred to as the "Company"), unless otherwise provided in the applicable contract. Medical technology is constantly evolving, and we reserve the right to review and update Medical Policy periodically.

Note: Endovascular Procedures for Intracranial Arterial Disease (Atherosclerosis and Aneurysms) is addressed separately in medical policy 00198.

Note: Endovascular Therapies for Extracranial Vertebral Artery Disease is addressed separately in medical policy 00466.

When Services May Be Eligible for Coverage

Coverage for eligible medical treatments or procedures, drugs, devices or biological products may be provided only if:

- Benefits are available in the member's contract/certificate, and
- Medical necessity criteria and guidelines are met.

Based on review of available data, the Company may consider carotid artery angioplasty with associated stenting and embolic protection in individuals who can be safely treated or crossed by this approach and have no angiographically visible intramural thrombus to be **eligible for coverage.****

Patient Selection Criteria

The use of carotid artery angioplasty with associated stenting and embolic protection in individuals who have no angiographically visible intramural thrombus will be considered for coverage when the following criteria are met:

• Symptomatic, severe stenosis (>50% and <100% stenosis) or asymptomatic preocclusive disease (>80% and <100%) in individuals with anatomic contraindication for carotid endarterectomy (CEA) (e.g., prior radiotherapy or neck surgery, lesions surgically inaccessible, high bifurcation requiring mandibular dislocation, spinal immobility, or tracheostomy); **OR**

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00155

Original Effective Date: 05/23/2005 Current Effective Date: 08/12/2024

- Symptomatic, severe stenosis (>50% and <100% stenosis) or asymptomatic preocclusive disease (>80% and <100%) in an individual with significant medical disease that would make the individual high risk for surgery, including **ONE OR MORE** of the following conditions:
 - \circ Age >80 years; **OR**
 - Congestive heart failure (New York Heart Association [NYHA] class III/IV) and/or left ventricular ejection fraction <30%; OR
 - Open heart surgery needed within 6 weeks; **OR**
 - o Recent myocardial infarction (>24 hours and <4 weeks); **OR**
 - o Unstable angina (Canadian Cardiovascular Society [CCS] class III/IV); **OR**
 - o Severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; OR
 - o Contralateral carotid occlusion requiring treatment; **OR**
 - o Contralateral laryngeal nerve palsy.
- Symptomatic, severe stenosis (>50% and <100%) or asymptomatic preocclusive disease (>80% and <100%) and **ONE** of the following conditions:
 - o Significant tandem lesion that may require endovascular therapy; **OR**
 - o Restenosis after CEA; OR
 - o Stenosis secondary to arterial dissection; **OR**
 - o Stenosis secondary to fibromuscular dysplasia; **OR**
 - o Stenosis secondary to Takayasu arteritis; **OR**
 - o Pseudoaneurysm.

When Services Are Considered Investigational

Coverage is not available for investigational medical treatments or procedures, drugs, devices or biological products.

Based on review of available data, the Company considers use of carotid artery angioplasty with associated stenting and embolic protection for all other indications, including but not limited to **ANY** the following, to be **investigational*:**

- Individuals with carotid stenosis who are suitable candidates for carotid endarterectomy (CEA); OR
- Complete occlusion (100% stenosis) of the relevant carotid artery; **OR**

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00155

Original Effective Date: 05/23/2005 Current Effective Date: 08/12/2024

- Carotid angioplasty <u>without</u> associated stenting and embolic protection, except for unique situations where the original intent was to perform stenting but anatomic or other considerations prohibited placement of the stent (coverage criteria must still be met); **OR**
- Asymptomatic stenosis of any degree, except when patient selection criteria are met; **OR**
- Symptomatic stenosis associated with an intracranial vascular malformation; **OR**
- Symptomatic stenosis in an individual with a subacute cerebral infarction; **OR**
- Symptomatic stenosis in an individual with a significant contraindication to angiography;
 OR
- Carotid stenosis with angiographically visible intraluminal thrombus; **OR**
- Carotid stenosis that cannot be safely reached or crossed by endovascular approach; **OR**
- Carotid stenosis in an individual when patient selection criteria are not met.

Background/Overview

Combined with optimal medical management, carotid angioplasty with or without stenting has been evaluated as an alternative to carotid endarterectomy (CEA). Carotid artery stenting (CAS) involves the introduction of coaxial systems of catheters, microcatheters, balloons, and other devices. The procedure is most often performed through the femoral artery, but a transcervical approach can also be used to avoid traversing the aortic arch. The procedure typically takes 20 to 40 minutes. Interventionalists almost uniformly use an embolic protection device (EPD) to reduce the risk of stroke caused by thromboembolic material dislodged during CAS. Embolic protection devices can be deployed proximally (with flow reversal) or distally (using a filter). Carotid angioplasty is rarely performed without stent placement.

The proposed advantages of CAS over CEA include:

- General anesthesia is not used (although CEA can be performed under local or regional anesthesia)
- Cranial nerve palsies are infrequent sequelae (although almost all following CEA resolve over time)
- Simultaneous procedures may be performed on the coronary and carotid arteries.

Extracranial carotid artery angioplasty with stenting (CAS) or without stenting has been investigated as a minimally invasive alternative to the current standard of care, that being carotid endarterectomy (CEA). CAS can be performed percutaneously (that is, passage of a balloon catheter into the lesion

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00155

Original Effective Date: 05/23/2005 Current Effective Date: 08/12/2024

via a femoral or brachial artery, followed by dilatation of the blocked segment and stent placement) or through a small incision in the neck (that is, transcarotid artery revascularization [TCAR]). TCAR employs a flow reversal system to provide continuous embolic protection throughout the CAS procedure for extracranial carotid artery stenosis.

FDA or Other Governmental Regulatory Approval

U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)

A number of CAS and EPDs have been approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) through the premarket approval (PMA) or the 510(k) process. Table 1 lists the original PMA's with product code NIM and Table 2 lists 510(k) approvals with product code NTE.

Table 1. FDA Premarket Approvals for Carotid Artery Stents and Embolic Protection Devices

Manufacturer	Device	PMA	PMA Date
Cordis Corp.	Cordis Precise Nitinol Stent System	P030047	Sept 2006
Abbott Vascular	Acculink Carotid Stent System and Rx Acculink Carotid Stent System	P040012	Aug 2004
Abbott Vascular	XACT Carotid Stent System	P040038	Sep 2005
Boston Scientific Corp.	Carotid Wallstent Monorail Endoprosthesis	P050019	Oct 2008
Boston Scientific Corp.	Endotex Nexstent Carotid Stent and Delivery System and Endotex Carotid Stent and Monorail Delivery System	P050025	Oct 2006
Medtronic Vascular	jProtege GPS and Protege Rx Carotid Stent Systems	P060001	Jan 2007
Medtronic Vascular	Exponent Self-Expanding Carotid Stent System with Over-the-Wire or Rapid-Exchange Delivery System	P070012	Oct 2007
Silk Road Medical, Inc.	Enroute Transcarotid Stent System	P140026	May 2015

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00155

Original Effective Date: 05/23/2005 Current Effective Date: 08/12/2024

	Enroute Transcarotid Stent System	P140026 S016	Apr 2022
W. L Gore & Associates, Inc Gore Carotid Stent	Gore Carotid Stent	P180010	Nov 2018

FDA: Food and Drug Administration; PMA: Premarket approval

Table 2. FDA 510(k) Carotid Artery Stents and Embolic Protection Devices

Manufacturer	Stents and Devices	510(k) Number	PMA/510(k) Date
Guidant, now Abbott Vascular	Accunet and RX AccunetEmbolic protection system	K042218	Aug 2004
Guidant, now Abbott Vascular	Rx Accunet 2 Embolic Protection System	K042908	Nov 2004
Guidant, now Abbott Vascular	Rx Accunet Embolic Protection System	K052165	Aug 2005
Abbott Vascular	Emboshield®‡ embolic protection system	K052454	Sep 2005
Cordis Corp.	AngioGuardä XP and RX emboli capture guidewire systems	K062531	Sep 2006
Boston Scientific	FilterWire EZ ^{™‡} embolic protection system	K063313	Dec 2006
EV3 Inc	Spiderx	K052659	Feb 2007
EV3 Inc	Spidefx	K063204	Nov 2007
GORE	GORE®‡ Flow Reversal System	K083300	Feb 2009
GORE	GORE®‡ Embolic Filter	K103500	May 2011
Medtronic/Invatec	Mo.Ma ^{®‡} Ultra Proximal Cerebral Protection Device	K092177	Oct 2009

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00155

Original Effective Date: 05/23/2005 Current Effective Date: 08/12/2024

Silk Road Medical	ENROUTE ^{™‡} Transcarotid Stent System and ENROUTE Transcarotid Neuroprotection System	K143072	Feb 2015
Gardia Medical	Wirion	K143570	Jun 2015
Abbott Vascular	Rx Accunet Embolic Protection System	K153086	Nov 2015
Silk Road Medical, Inc.	Enroute Transcarotid Neuroprotection System	K153485	Mar 2016
Gardia Medical Ltd.	Wirion	K180023	Mar 2018
Contego Medical, LLC	Paladin Carotid Post-Dilation Balloon System With Integrated Embolic Protection (Paladin System)	K181128	Sep 2018
Contego Medical, LLC	Vanguard lep Peripheral Balloon Angioplasty System With Integrated Embolic Protection	K181529	Dec 2018
Abbott Vascular	Emboshield Nav6 Embolic Protection System, Barewire Filter Delivery Wires	K191173	Jul 2019
Cardiovascular Systems	Wirion	K200198	Mar 2020
Cardiovascular Systems	Wirion Embolic Protection System	K210282	Mar 2021
Cordis Corporation	Angioguard Xp Emboli Capture Guidewire, Angioguard Rx Emboli Capture Guidewire	K220654	Apr 2022
Contego Medical Inc.	Paladin Carotid Post-Dilation Balloon System With Integrated Embolic Protection	K221339	Jun 2022
Silk Road Medical	Enroute®‡ Transcarotid Neuroprotection System	K230402	Apr 2023

FDA: Food and Drug Administration; PMA: premarket approval.

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00155

Original Effective Date: 05/23/2005 Current Effective Date: 08/12/2024

Each FDA-approved carotid stent is indicated for combined use with an EPD to reduce risk of stroke in patients considered at increased risk for periprocedural complications from CEA who are symptomatic with greater than 50% stenosis, or asymptomatic with greater than 80% stenosis with degree of stenosis assessed by ultrasound or angiogram, with computed tomography angiography also used. Patients are considered at increased risk for complications during CEA if affected by any item from a list of anatomic features and comorbid conditions included in each stent system's Information for Prescribers.

The RX Acculink[™][‡] Carotid Stent System is also approved for use in conventional risk patients (not considered at increased risk for complications during CEA) with symptoms and 70% or more stenosis by ultrasound or 50% or more stenosis by angiogram, and asymptomatic patients with 70% or more stenosis by ultrasound or 60% or more stenosis by angiogram.

The FDA-approved stents and EPDs differ in the deployment methods used once they reach the target lesion, with the rapid exchange devices designed for more rapid stent and filter expansion. The FDA has mandated postmarketing studies for EPDs, including longer follow-up for patients already reported to the FDA and additional registry studies, primarily to compare outcomes as a function of clinician training and facility experience. Each manufacturer's system is available in various configurations (eg, straight or tapered) and sizes (diameters and lengths) to match the vessel lumen that will receive the stent.

In 2015, the ENROUTE™‡ Transcarotid Neuroprotection System was cleared for marketing by the FDA through the 510(k) process. ENROUTE is a flow reversal device designed to be placed via direct carotid access. In April 2022, the ENROUTE®‡ Transcarotid Stent System received expanded approval for use in the treatment of individuals at standard risk of complications from CEA. For those with neurological symptoms, criteria include 70% or more stenosis by ultrasound or 50% or more stenosis by angiogram. For asymptomatic individuals, criteria include 70% or more stenosis by ultrasound or 60% or more stenosis by angiogram. The carotid bifurcation location must be a minimum of 5 cm above the clavicle to allow for the placement of the ENROUTE Transcarotid Neuroprotection System.

FDA product codes: NIM (stents) and NTE (EPDs).

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00155

Original Effective Date: 05/23/2005 Current Effective Date: 08/12/2024

Rationale/Source

This medical policy was developed through consideration of peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community, U.S. Food and Drug Administration approval status, nationally accepted standards of medical practice and accepted standards of medical practice in this community, technology evaluation centers, reference to federal regulations, other plan medical policies, and accredited national guidelines.

Description

Carotid artery angioplasty with stenting is a treatment for carotid stenosis that is intended to prevent a future stroke. It is an alternative to medical therapy and a less-invasive alternative to carotid endarterectomy (CEA).

Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have carotid artery stenosis who receive carotid artery stenting (CAS), the evidence includes randomized controlled trials and systematic reviews of these trials. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, morbid events, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. A substantial body of randomized controlled trial evidence has compared outcomes of CAS with CEA for symptomatic and asymptomatic patients with carotid stenosis. The evidence does not support the use of CAS in carotid artery disease for the average-risk patient because early adverse events are higher with CAS and long-term outcomes are similar between the 2 procedures. Data from randomized controlled trials and large database studies have established that the risk of death or stroke with CAS exceeds the threshold considered acceptable to indicate overall benefit from the procedure. Therefore, for patients with carotid stenosis who are suitable candidates for CEA, CAS does not improve health outcomes. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology is unlikely to improve the net health outcome.

Additional Information

Based on limited data, clinical input, a chain of evidence, and unmet medical need, CAS may be considered a reasonable treatment option in recently symptomatic patients when CEA cannot be performed due to anatomic reasons. For this population, CAS may be considered medically necessary. It is considered investigational for all other indications, including carotid artery dissection.

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00155

Original Effective Date: 05/23/2005 Current Effective Date: 08/12/2024

Transcarotid artery revascularization (TCAR) was recently introduced as a novel CAS option that circumvents several of the high embolic-risk maneuvers found in transfemoral CAS and employs a flow reversal system that provides continuous embolic protection throughout the procedure. According to this review article, early results from this technique have shown low stroke/death rates comparable to CEA while maintaining the minimally invasive benefits of CAS. It is reported that TCAR has a strong potential to become the preferred method of performing CAS in the near future and may challenge CEA as the preferred carotid artery revascularization method (Liang, 2019).

As of Feb. 23, 2021 the FDA issued a Class II device recall for affected lots of the ENROUTE Transcarotid Stent System which is under investigation for the following:

Action: On 01/13/2021, the firm sent an "URGENT: MEDICAL DEVICE RECALL" Notification via email to customers informing them that product complaints have been received where the tip/nose cone detached from the stent delivery system during use and it is possible the resulting patient harm can range from minor intervention required to retrieve the detached tip/nose cone to embolization or stroke if the device failure goes undetected.

According to the web site for the Society for Vascular Surgery (SVS), the following information is noted:

Transcarotid Artery Revascularization (TCAR) is a clinically proven, minimally invasive procedure to treat carotid artery disease and help prevent future strokes. TCAR is unique in that blood flow is temporarily reversed during the procedure so that any bits of plaque that may break off are diverted away from the brain (SVS, 2021).

In an updated SVS guideline for management of extracranial cerebrovascular disease, the following is excerpted:

Carotid endarterectomy (CEA) remains favored over transfemoral carotid artery stenting (TF-CAS) for most anatomically suited low/standard risk patients with indications for carotid revascularization. Transcarotid artery revascularization (TCAR) is a newer hybrid CAS procedure that places the stent through a small neck incision. In observational studies, TCAR had a lower risk of perioperative stroke compared with TF-CAS, and lower rates of myocardial infarction or cranial nerve injury compared with CEA. For these reasons, the SVS now considers

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisianais an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00155

Original Effective Date: 05/23/2005 Current Effective Date: 08/12/2024

TCAR preferable to TF-CAS or CEA in high surgical risk patients such as those with high-risk carotid or other anatomy, or unacceptably high medical risk (AbuRahma, 2021).

Available data from pre-clinical studies, prospective single-arm studies, and comparative analyses of registry data have demonstrated similar major outcomes from TFCAS and TCAR procedures for carotid stenosis, with lower adverse event rates from TCAR. Investigators have called for a well-controlled randomized trial with careful oversight to be prioritized to obtain level 1 evidence and further validate these preliminary findings (Lackey, 2020; Liang, 2020).

Supplemental Information

Clinical Input From Physician Specialty Societies and Academic Medical Centers

While the various physician specialty societies and academic medical centers may collaborate with and make recommendations during this process, through the provision of appropriate reviewers, input received does not represent an endorsement or position statement by the physician specialty societies or academic medical centers, unless otherwise noted.

2009 Input

In response to requests, input was received from 4 physician specialty societies (6 reviewers) and 4 academic medical centers while this policy was under review in 2009. (Also, an unsolicited response from a specialty society was received.) Input strongly supported the use of carotid artery stenting (CAS) in recently symptomatic patients where surgical carotid endarterectomy cannot be performed due to anatomic reasons, although acknowledging the limited evidence about this subgroup. The lack of alternative treatments for recently symptomatic patients and the established increased risk of stroke were factors supporting this opinion.

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

Guidelines or position statements will be considered for inclusion in 'Supplemental Information' if they were issued by, or jointly by, a US professional society, an international society with US representation, or National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Priority will be given to guidelines that are informed by a systematic review, include strength of evidence ratings, and include a description of management of conflict of interest.

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00155

Original Effective Date: 05/23/2005 Current Effective Date: 08/12/2024

American Heart Association and American Stroke Association

The American Heart Association and the American Stroke Association (2021) issued guidance for the prevention of stroke in patients with stroke and transient ischemic attack (TIA). They recommended that for patients with severe extracranial carotid artery stenosis ipsilateral to a nondisabling stroke or TIA, the choice between carotid endarterectomy (CEA) and CAS in patients who are candidates for intervention should be patient specific. Specific recommendations for CAS or CEA are summarized in Table 3.

Table 3. Guidelines for CAS/CEA in Extracranial Carotid Stenosis

Recommendation	CORa	LOE ^b
In patients with a TIA or nondisabling ischemic stroke within the past 6 months and ipsilateral severe (70%-99%) carotid artery stenosis, CEA is recommended to reduce the risk of future stroke, provided that perioperative morbidity and mortality risk is estimated to be <6%.	1	A
In patients with recent TIA or ischemic stroke and ipsilateral moderate (50%-69%) carotid stenosis as documented by catheter-based imaging or noninvasive imaging, CEA is recommended to reduce the risk of future stroke, depending on patient-specific factors such as age, sex, and comorbidities, if the perioperative morbidity and mortality risk is estimated to be <6%.	1	B-R
In patients ≥70 years of age with stroke or TIA in whom carotid revascularization is being considered, it is reasonable to select CEA over CAS to reduce the periprocedural stroke rate.	2a	B-R
In patients in whom revascularization is planned within 1 week of the index stroke, it is reasonable to choose CEA over CAS to reduce the periprocedural stroke rate.	2a	B-R
In patients with symptomatic severe stenosis (≥70%) in whom anatomic or medical conditions are present that increase the risk for surgery (such as radiation-induced stenosis or restenosis after CEA) it is reasonable to choose CAS to reduce the periprocedural complication rate.	2a	C-LD

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisianais an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00155

Original Effective Date: 05/23/2005 Current Effective Date: 08/12/2024

In symptomatic patients at average or low risk of complications associated with endovascular intervention, when the ICA stenosis is ≥70% by noninvasive imaging or >50% by catheter-based imaging and the anticipated rate of periprocedural stroke or death is <6%, CA may be considered as an alternative to CEA for stroke prevention, particularly in patients with significant cardiovascular comorbidities predisposing to cardiovascular complications with endarterectomy.	S 2b	A
--	------	---

CAS: carotid artery angioplasty with stenting; CEA: carotid endarterectomy; COR: class of recommendation; ICA: internal carotid artery; LOE: level of evidence; TIA; transient ischemic attack

Society for Vascular Surgery

The Society for Vascular Surgery published updated guidelines for management of extracranial cerebrovascular disease in 2022. They recommended CEA over CAS in low- and standard-risk patients with more than 50% symptomatic artery stenosis (strong evidence of high quality). The guidelines note that while present data are inadequate to make a recommendation on the role of transcarotid arterial revascularization (TCAR) in low surgical risk patients with symptomatic carotid stenosis, TCAR is superior or preferable to TF-CAS or CEA for patients with high anatomic and/or physiologic surgical risk.

American Stroke Association

The American Stroke Association (2011), along with 13 other medical societies, issued guidelines on the management of extracranial carotid and vertebral artery diseases, which are summarized in Table 4.

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

^a Class I: benefit >>> risk; Class IIa: benefit >> risk; Class IIb: benefit > risk.

b Level A (data derived from multiple randomized controlled trials, meta-analyses of high-quality RCTs, or RCT corroborated by high-quality registry study); level B-R (data derived from ≥1 randomized controlled trial of moderate quality or meta-analysis of such trials); level C-LD (randomized or nonrandomized observational or registry studies with limitations of design or execution, meta-analyses of such studies, or physiological or mechanistic studies in human subjects).



Policy # 00155

Original Effective Date: 05/23/2005 Current Effective Date: 08/12/2024

Table 4. Guidelines for Managing Patients With Extracranial Carotid and Vertebral Artery Disease

Recommendation	CORa	LOEb
CAS is indicated as an alternative to CEA for symptomatic patients at average or low-risk of complications associated with endovascular intervention when the diameter of the lumen of the internal carotid artery is reduced by >70%, as documented by noninvasive imaging or >50% as documented by catheter angiography and the anticipated rate of periprocedural stroke or mortality is <6% (360)	Ι	В
Selection of asymptomatic patients for carotid revascularization should be guided by an assessment of comorbid conditions, life expectancy, and other individual factors and should include a thorough discussion of the risks and benefits of the procedure with an understanding of patient preferences	I	С
It is reasonable to choose CEA over CAS when revascularization is indicated in older patients, particularly when arterial pathoanatomy is unfavorable for endovascular intervention	IIa	В
It is reasonable to choose CAS over CEA when revascularization is indicated in patients with neck anatomy unfavorable for arterial surgery	IIa	В
When revascularization is indicated for patients with TIA or stroke and there are no contraindications to early revascularization, intervention within 2 week of the index event is reasonable rather than delaying surgery	IIa	В
Prophylactic CAS might be considered in highly selected patients with asymptomatic carotid stenosis (minimum 60% by angiography, 70% by validated Doppler ultrasound), but its effectiveness compared with medical therapy alone in this situation is not well established	IIb	В
In symptomatic or asymptomatic patients at high-risk of complications for carotid revascularization by either CEA or CAS because of comorbidities, the effectiveness of revascularization versus medical therapy alone is not well established	IIb	В

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisianais an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00155

Original Effective Date: 05/23/2005 Current Effective Date: 08/12/2024

Recommendation	CORª	LOEb
Carotid angioplasty and stenting might be considered when ischemic neurologic symptoms have not responded to antithrombotic therapy after acute carotid dissection	IIb	С
Except in extraordinary circumstances, carotid revascularization by either CEA or CAS is not recommended when atherosclerosis narrows the lumen by <50%	III	A
Carotid revascularization is not recommended for patients with chronic total occlusion of the targeted carotid artery	III	С
Carotid revascularization is not recommended for patients with severe disability caused by cerebral infarction that precludes preservation of useful function	III	С

CAS: carotid artery angioplasty with stenting; CEA: carotid endarterectomy; COR: class of recommendation; LOE: level of evidence; TIA; transient ischemic attack.

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

The U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommends against screening for asymptomatic carotid artery stenosis in the general adult population (Grade D; reaffirmed in 2021).

Medicare National Coverage

The Center for Medicaid & Medicare Services (CMS; 2001) issued national coverage policy that restricted coverage for carotid angioplasty and stenting to patients participating in a clinical trial with category B investigational device exemption (IDE) designation from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Percutaneous transluminal angioplasty of the vertebral and cerebral arteries remained noncovered.

When the FDA approved the first (Guidant) devices, Medicare coverage under the IDE was no longer available for that manufacturer's devices and was not applicable to the FDA-required

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisianais an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

^a Class I: benefit >>> risk; class IIa benefit >> risk; class IIb benefit ≥ risk; class III: no benefit.

^b Level A (data derived from multiple randomized controlled trials or meta-analyses; multiple populations evaluated); level B (data derived from a single randomized controlled trial or nonrandomized studies; limited populations evaluated); level C (only consensus opinion of experts, case studies, or standard of care; very limited populations evaluated).



Policy # 00155

Original Effective Date: 05/23/2005 Current Effective Date: 08/12/2024

postapproval studies. Thus, in 2004, Medicare broadened its national coverage policy and "determined that the evidence is adequate to conclude that percutaneous transluminal angioplasty with carotid stent placement is reasonable and necessary when performed consistent with the FDA approval of the carotid stent device and in an FDA required post-approval study." For unapproved stents and embolic protection devices, the prior policy remained in effect and restricted coverage to patients participating in an FDA-approved category B IDE trial of stent placement in the cervical carotid artery.

While the Medicare decision differed from the conclusions of this review, Medicare made a public policy decision "that making available new, effective therapies aimed at addressing treatment and prevention of cerebrovascular disease was important to Medicare beneficiaries." Medicare also noted that it recognized the value in supporting postapproval studies as "the collected data may provide an opportunity for practitioners to determine which patients are most appropriate for carotid artery stenting and to reinforce IDE trial data on health outcomes and adverse events."

CMS provides a continually updated listing of facilities eligible for Medicare reimbursement that meet CMS's minimum facility standards for performing CAS for high-risk patients.

In 2005, CMS determined that CAS with embolic protection devices was reasonable and necessary for patients at high-risk for carotid endarterectomy (CEA) who also have symptomatic carotid artery stenosis 70% or more. The CMS limited coverage for these patients to procedures performed using the FDA-approved devices. The CMS also limited coverage for patients at high-risk for CEA with symptomatic carotid artery stenosis between 50% and 70%, and for patients at high-risk for CEA with asymptomatic stenosis 80% or more, to the FDA-approved category B IDE clinical trials for unapproved devices, or to the FDA-required postapproval studies for approved devices. The CMS defined patients at high-risk for CEA as having significant comorbidities and/or anatomic risk factors (ie, recurrent stenosis and/or previous radical neck dissection) who would be poor candidates for CEA in the opinion of a surgeon.

In 2007, a decision memo reaffirmed CMS's previous decision following a request to expand coverage while clarifying that "CAS is only covered when used with an embolic protection device and is, therefore, not covered if deployment of the distal embolic protection device is not technically possible." In 2008, in a sixth reconsideration, and in 2009, in a seventh reconsideration, CMS reaffirmed its prior coverage decisions.

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00155

Original Effective Date: 05/23/2005 Current Effective Date: 08/12/2024

In 2012, CMS convened a Medicare Evidence Development & Coverage Advisory Committee panel to consider management of carotid atherosclerosis. Medicare Evidence Development & Coverage Advisory Committee panel members voted on specific questions using a scale of 1 (low confidence) to 5 (high confidence). For symptomatic patients not considered at high-risk, the mean scores to the question of whether CAS is the favored treatment strategy in this population was 1.85 and for CEA 3.6. For asymptomatic patients not considered high-risk, the evidence was judged to have not reached a level of certainty to determine a favored treatment.

Ongoing and Unpublished Clinical Trials

Some currently unpublished trials that might influence this review are listed in Table 5.

Table 5. Summary of Key Trials

NCT No.	Trial Name	Planned Enrollment	Completion Date
NCT05416853	Radial Versus Femoral Access For Carotid Artery Stenting In Patients With Carotid-Artery Stenosis: a Prospective, Randomized, Multicenter, Noninferiority Trial (RACE-CAS)	2688	Aug 2024
NCT02089217	Carotid Revascularization and Medical Management for Asymptomatic Carotid Stenosis Trial (CREST-2)	2480	Feb 2026
ISRCTN97744893	European Carotid Surgery Trial 2 (ECST-2): a randomized controlled trial	429	Mar 2025
NCT05623904	Carotid Revascularization Versus Best Medical Treatment for Asymptomatic Carotid Stenosis: a Multicenter, Open, Randomized Controlled Trial in Chinese Population	1056	Dec 2025
NCT05465122	Long-Term Observational Extension of Participants in CREST-2 (C2LOE)	1500	May 2026

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00155

Original Effective Date: 05/23/2005 Current Effective Date: 08/12/2024

NCT02850588	TransCarotid Revascularization Surveillance Project of the Society for Vascular Surgery	60000	Dec 2026
	Vascular Quality Initiative (VQI-TCAR)		

ISRCTN: International Standard Randomized Controlled Trial Number; NCT: national clinical trial

References

- 1. Anthem Blue Cross, "Carotid, Vertebral and Intracranial Artery Stent Placement with or without Angioplasty", CG-SURG-76, September 27, 2023.
- 2. Barnett HJM, Taylor DW, Haynes RB, et al. Beneficial effect of carotid endarterectomy in symptomatic patients with high-grade carotid stenosis. N Engl J Med. Aug 15 1991; 325(7): 445-53. PMID 1852179
- 3. MRC European Carotid Surgery Trial: interim results for symptomatic patients with severe (70-99%) or with mild (0-29%) carotid stenosis. European Carotid Surgery Trialists' Collaborative Group. Lancet. May 25 1991; 337(8752): 1235-43. PMID 1674060
- 4. Mayberg MR, Wilson SE, Yatsu F, et al. Carotid endarterectomy and prevention of cerebral ischemia in symptomatic carotid stenosis. Veterans Affairs Cooperative Studies Program 309 Trialist Group. JAMA. Dec 18 1991; 266(23): 3289-94. PMID 1960828
- 5. Endarterectomy for asymptomatic carotid artery stenosis. Executive Committee for the Asymptomatic Carotid Atherosclerosis Study. JAMA. May 10 1995; 273(18): 1421-8. PMID 7723155
- Randomised trial of endarterectomy for recently symptomatic carotid stenosis: final results of the MRC European Carotid Surgery Trial (ECST). Lancet. May 09 1998; 351(9113): 1379-87. PMID 9593407
- 7. Barnett HJ, Taylor DW, Eliasziw M, et al. Benefit of carotid endarterectomy in patients with symptomatic moderate or severe stenosis. North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial Collaborators. N Engl J Med. Nov 12 1998; 339(20): 1415-25. PMID 9811916
- 8. Halliday A, Mansfield A, Marro J, et al. Prevention of disabling and fatal strokes by successful carotid endarterectomy in patients without recent neurological symptoms: randomised controlled trial. Lancet. May 08 2004; 363(9420): 1491-502. PMID 15135594
- 9. Arazi HC, Capparelli FJ, Linetzky B, et al. Carotid endarterectomy in asymptomatic carotid stenosis: a decision analysis. Clin Neurol Neurosurg. May 2008; 110(5): 472-9. PMID 18374476

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisianais an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00155

Original Effective Date: 05/23/2005 Current Effective Date: 08/12/2024

- 10. Marquardt L, Geraghty OC, Mehta Z, et al. Low risk of ipsilateral stroke in patients with asymptomatic carotid stenosis on best medical treatment: a prospective, population-based study. Stroke. Jan 2010; 41(1): e11-7. PMID 19926843
- 11. Naylor AR, Bell PR. Treatment of asymptomatic carotid disease with stenting: con. Semin Vasc Surg. Jun 2008; 21(2): 100-7. PMID 18565417
- 12. Brott TG, Hobson RW, Howard G, et al. Stenting versus endarterectomy for treatment of carotid-artery stenosis. N Engl J Med. Jul 01 2010; 363(1): 11-23. PMID 20505173
- 13. De Rango P, Brown MM, Leys D, et al. Management of carotid stenosis in women: consensus document. Neurology. Jun 11 2013; 80(24): 2258-68. PMID 23751919
- 14. Jordan WD, Voellinger DC, Fisher WS, et al. A comparison of carotid angioplasty with stenting versus endarterectomy with regional anesthesia. J Vasc Surg. Sep 1998; 28(3): 397-402; discussion 402-3. PMID 9737448
- 15. Lewis SC, Warlow CP, Bodenham AR, et al. General anaesthesia versus local anaesthesia for carotid surgery (GALA): a multicentre, randomised controlled trial. Lancet. Dec 20 2008; 372(9656): 2132-42. PMID 19041130
- 16. Yadav JS, Wholey MH, Kuntz RE, et al. Protected carotid-artery stenting versus endarterectomy in high-risk patients. N Engl J Med. Oct 07 2004; 351(15): 1493-501. PMID 15470212
- 17. Gurm HS, Yadav JS, Fayad P, et al. Long-term results of carotid stenting versus endarterectomy in high-risk patients. N Engl J Med. Apr 10 2008; 358(15): 1572-9. PMID 18403765
- 18. Eckstein HH, Ringleb P, Allenberg JR, et al. Results of the Stent-Protected Angioplasty versus Carotid Endarterectomy (SPACE) study to treat symptomatic stenoses at 2 years: a multinational, prospective, randomised trial. Lancet Neurol. Oct 2008; 7(10): 893-902. PMID 18774746
- 19. Ringleb PA, Allenberg J, Bruckmann H, et al. 30 day results from the SPACE trial of stent-protected angioplasty versus carotid endarterectomy in symptomatic patients: a randomised non-inferiority trial. Lancet. Oct 07 2006; 368(9543): 1239-47. PMID 17027729
- 20. Naylor AR. SPACE: not the final frontier. Lancet. Oct 07 2006; 368(9543): 1215-6. PMID 17027708
- 21. Furlan AJ. Carotid-artery stenting--case open or closed?. N Engl J Med. Oct 19 2006; 355(16): 1726-9. PMID 17050898
- 22. Mas JL, Chatellier G, Beyssen B, et al. Endarterectomy versus stenting in patients with symptomatic severe carotid stenosis. N Engl J Med. Oct 19 2006; 355(16): 1660-71. PMID 17050890

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisianais an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00155

Original Effective Date: 05/23/2005 Current Effective Date: 08/12/2024

- 23. Arquizan C, Trinquart L, Touboul PJ, et al. Restenosis is more frequent after carotid stenting than after endarterectomy: the EVA-3S study. Stroke. Apr 2011; 42(4): 1015-20. PMID 21311065
- 24. Mas JL, Trinquart L, Leys D, et al. Endarterectomy Versus Angioplasty in Patients with Symptomatic Severe Carotid Stenosis (EVA-3S) trial: results up to 4 years from a randomised, multicentre trial. Lancet Neurol. Oct 2008; 7(10): 885-92. PMID 18774745
- 25. Mas JL, Arquizan C, Calvet D, et al. Long-term follow-up study of endarterectomy versus angioplasty in patients with symptomatic severe carotid stenosis trial. Stroke. Sep 2014; 45(9): 2750-6. PMID 25082808
- 26. Ederle J, Dobson J, Featherstone RL, et al. Carotid artery stenting compared with endarterectomy in patients with symptomatic carotid stenosis (International Carotid Stenting Study): an interim analysis of a randomised controlled trial. Lancet. Mar 20 2010; 375(9719): 985-97. PMID 20189239
- 27. Bonati LH, Jongen LM, Haller S, et al. New ischaemic brain lesions on MRI after stenting or endarterectomy for symptomatic carotid stenosis: a substudy of the International Carotid Stenting Study (ICSS). Lancet Neurol. Apr 2010; 9(4): 353-62. PMID 20189458
- 28. Rothwell PM. Carotid stenting: more risky than endarterectomy and often no better than medical treatment alone. Lancet. Mar 20 2010; 375(9719): 957-9. PMID 20304225
- 29. Bonati LH, Dobson J, Featherstone RL, et al. Long-term outcomes after stenting versus endarterectomy for treatment of symptomatic carotid stenosis: the International Carotid Stenting Study (ICSS) randomised trial. Lancet. Feb 07 2015; 385(9967): 529-38. PMID 25453443
- 30. Altinbas A, Algra A, Brown MM, et al. Effects of carotid endarterectomy or stenting on hemodynamic complications in the International Carotid Stenting Study: a randomized comparison. Int J Stroke. Apr 2014; 9(3): 284-90. PMID 23834300
- 31. Featherstone RL, Dobson J, Ederle J, et al. Carotid artery stenting compared with endarterectomy in patients with symptomatic carotid stenosis (International Carotid Stenting Study): a randomised controlled trial with cost-effectiveness analysis. Health Technol Assess. Mar 2016; 20(20): 1-94. PMID 26979174
- 32. Hopkins LN, Roubin GS, Chakhtoura EY, et al. The Carotid Revascularization Endarterectomy versus Stenting Trial: credentialing of interventionalists and final results of lead-in phase. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis. Mar 2010; 19(2): 153-62. PMID 20189092
- 33. Silver FL, Mackey A, Clark WM, et al. Safety of stenting and endarterectomy by symptomatic status in the Carotid Revascularization Endarterectomy Versus Stenting Trial (CREST). Stroke. Mar 2011; 42(3): 675-80. PMID 21307169

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00155

Original Effective Date: 05/23/2005 Current Effective Date: 08/12/2024

- 34. Lal BK, Beach KW, Roubin GS, et al. Restenosis after carotid artery stenting and endarterectomy: a secondary analysis of CREST, a randomised controlled trial. Lancet Neurol. Sep 2012; 11(9): 755-63. PMID 22857850
- 35. Brott TG, Howard G, Roubin GS, et al. Long-Term Results of Stenting versus Endarterectomy for Carotid-Artery Stenosis. N Engl J Med. Mar 17 2016; 374(11): 1021-31. PMID 26890472
- 36. Roffi M, Sievert H, Gray WA, et al. Carotid artery stenting versus surgery: adequate comparisons?. Lancet Neurol. Apr 2010; 9(4): 339-41; author reply 341-2. PMID 20189459
- 37. Nallamothu BK, Gurm HS, Ting HH, et al. Operator experience and carotid stenting outcomes in Medicare beneficiaries. JAMA. Sep 28 2011; 306(12): 1338-43. PMID 21954477
- 38. Gonzales NR, Demaerschalk BM, Voeks JH, et al. Complication rates and center enrollment volume in the carotid revascularization endarterectomy versus stenting trial. Stroke. Nov 2014; 45(11): 3320-4. PMID 25256180
- 39. Rosenfield K, Matsumura JS, Chaturvedi S, et al. Randomized Trial of Stent versus Surgery for Asymptomatic Carotid Stenosis. N Engl J Med. Mar 17 2016; 374(11): 1011-20. PMID 26886419
- 40. Spence JD, Naylor AR. Endarterectomy, Stenting, or Neither for Asymptomatic Carotid-Artery Stenosis. N Engl J Med. Mar 17 2016; 374(11): 1087-8. PMID 26890473
- 41. Halliday A, Bulbulia R, Bonati LH, et al. Second asymptomatic carotid surgery trial (ACST-2): a randomised comparison of carotid artery stenting versus carotid endarterectomy. Lancet. Sep 18 2021; 398(10305): 1065-1073. PMID 34469763
- 42. Li FM, Zhong JX, Jiang X, et al. Therapeutic effect of carotid artery stenting versus endarterectomy for patients with high-risk carotid stenosis. Int J Clin Exp Med. 2014; 7(9): 2895-900. PMID 25356155
- 43. Kuliha M, Roubec M, Prochazka V, et al. Randomized clinical trial comparing neurological outcomes after carotid endarterectomy or stenting. Br J Surg. Feb 2015; 102(3): 194-201. PMID 25511816
- 44. Reiff T, Eckstein HH, Mansmann U, et al. Angioplasty in asymptomatic carotid artery stenosis vs. endarterectomy compared to best medical treatment: One-year interim results of SPACE-2. Int J Stroke. Mar 15 2019: 1747493019833017. PMID 30873912
- 45. Gray WA. Carotid stenting or carotid surgery in average surgical-risk patients: interpreting the conflicting clinical trial data. Prog Cardiovasc Dis. Jul-Aug 2011; 54(1): 14-21. PMID 21722782
- 46. Woo K, Garg J, Hye RJ, et al. Contemporary results of carotid endarterectomy for asymptomatic carotid stenosis. Stroke. May 2010; 41(5): 975-9. PMID 20339122

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisianais an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00155

Original Effective Date: 05/23/2005 Current Effective Date: 08/12/2024

- 47. Barnett HJ, Pelz DM, Lownie SP. Reflections by contrarians on the post-CREST evaluation of carotid stenting for stroke prevention. Int J Stroke. Dec 2010; 5(6): 455-6. PMID 21050401
- 48. Muller MD, Lyrer P, Brown MM, et al. Carotid artery stenting versus endarterectomy for treatment of carotid artery stenosis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Feb 25 2020; 2: CD000515. PMID 32096559
- 49. Angioplasty and stenting of the cervical carotid artery with distal embolic protection of the cerebral circulation. Technol Eval Cent Assess Program Exec Summ. Feb 2005; 19(15): 1-4. PMID 15714698
- 50. Ederle J, Featherstone RL, Brown MM. Randomized controlled trials comparing endarterectomy and endovascular treatment for carotid artery stenosis: a Cochrane systematic review. Stroke. Apr 2009; 40(4): 1373-80. PMID 19228850
- 51. Bangalore S, Kumar S, Wetterslev J, et al. Carotid artery stenting vs carotid endarterectomy: meta-analysis and diversity-adjusted trial sequential analysis of randomized trials. Arch Neurol. Feb 2011;68(2):172-184. PMID
- 52. Murad MH, Shahrour A, Shah ND, et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials of carotid endarterectomy vs stenting. J Vasc Surg. Mar 2011; 53(3): 792-7. PMID 21216556
- 53. Economopoulos KP, Sergentanis TN, Tsivgoulis G, et al. Carotid artery stenting versus carotid endarterectomy: a comprehensive meta-analysis of short-term and long-term outcomes. Stroke. Mar 2011; 42(3): 687-92. PMID 21233476
- 54. Vincent S, Eberg M, Eisenberg MJ, et al. Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials Comparing the Long-Term Outcomes of Carotid Artery Stenting Versus Endarterectomy. Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes. Oct 2015; 8(6 Suppl 3): S99-108. PMID 26515216
- 55. Brott TG, Calvet D, Howard G, et al. Long-term outcomes of stenting and endarterectomy for symptomatic carotid stenosis: a preplanned pooled analysis of individual patient data. Lancet Neurol. Apr 2019; 18(4): 348-356. PMID 30738706
- 56. Paraskevas KI, Lazaridis C, Andrews CM, et al. Comparison of cognitive function after carotid artery stenting versus carotid endarterectomy. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg. Mar 2014; 47(3): 221-31. PMID 24393665
- 57. Angioplasty and stenting of the cervical carotid artery with embolic protection of the cerebral circulation. Technol Eval Cent Assess Program Exec Summ. Aug 2010; 24(12): 1-3. PMID 21114063

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00155

Original Effective Date: 05/23/2005 Current Effective Date: 08/12/2024

- 58. Touze E, Trinquart L, Chatellier G, et al. Systematic review of the perioperative risks of stroke or death after carotid angioplasty and stenting. Stroke. Dec 2009; 40(12): e683-93. PMID 19892997
- 59. Gray WA, Chaturvedi S, Verta P. Thirty-day outcomes for carotid artery stenting in 6320 patients from 2 prospective, multicenter, high-surgical-risk registries. Circ Cardiovasc Interv. Jun 2009; 2(3): 159-66. PMID 20031712
- 60. White CJ, Iyer SS, Hopkins LN, et al. Carotid stenting with distal protection in high surgical risk patients: the BEACH trial 30 day results. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv. Apr 2006; 67(4): 503-12. PMID 16548004
- 61. Spangler EL, Goodney PP, Schanzer A, et al. Outcomes of carotid endarterectomy versus stenting in comparable medical risk patients. J Vasc Surg. Nov 2014; 60(5): 1227-1231.e1. PMID 24953899
- 62. Salzler GG, Farber A, Rybin DV, et al. The Association of Carotid Revascularization Endarterectomy versus Stent Trial (CREST) and Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services Carotid Guideline Publication on utilization and outcomes of carotid stenting among "high-risk" patients. J Vasc Surg. Jul 2017; 66(1): 104-111.e1. PMID 28502543
- 63. Lee VH, Brown RD, Mandrekar JN, et al. Incidence and outcome of cervical artery dissection: a population-based study. Neurology. Nov 28 2006; 67(10): 1809-12. PMID 17130413
- 64. Schirmer CM, Atalay B, Malek AM. Endovascular recanalization of symptomatic flow-limiting cervical carotid dissection in an isolated hemisphere. Neurosurg Focus. Jun 2011; 30(6): E16. PMID 21631217
- 65. Ohta H, Natarajan SK, Hauck EF, et al. Endovascular stent therapy for extracranial and intracranial carotid artery dissection: single-center experience. J Neurosurg. Jul 2011; 115(1): 91-100. PMID 21417710
- 66. Asif KS, Lazzaro MA, Teleb MS, et al. Endovascular reconstruction for progressively worsening carotid artery dissection. J Neurointerv Surg. Jan 2015; 7(1): 32-9. PMID 24391159
- 67. Kleindorfer DO, Towfighi A, Chaturvedi S, et al. 2021 Guideline for the Prevention of Stroke in Patients With Stroke and Transient Ischemic Attack: A Guideline From the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. Jul 2021; 52(7): e364-e467. PMID 34024117
- 68. AbuRahma AF, Avgerinos ED, Chang RW, et al. Society for Vascular Surgery clinical practice guidelines for management of extracranial cerebrovascular disease. J Vasc Surg. Jan 2022; 75(1S): 4S-22S. PMID 34153348
- 69. Brott TG, Halperin JL, Abbara S, et al. 2011

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00155

Original Effective Date: 05/23/2005 Current Effective Date: 08/12/2024

ASA/ACCF/AHA/AANN/AANS/ACR/ASNR/CNS/SAIP/SCAI/SIR/SNIS/SVM/SVS

guideline on the management of patients with extracranial carotid and vertebral artery disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines, and the American Stroke Association, American Association of Neuroscience Nurses, American Association of Neurological Surgeons, American College of Radiology, American Society of Neuroradiology, Congress of Neurological Surgeons, Society of Atherosclerosis Imaging and Prevention, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society of Interventional Radiology, Society of NeuroInterventional Surgery, Society for Vascular Medicine, and Society for Vascular Surgery. J Am Coll Cardiol. Feb 22 2011; 57(8): e16-94. PMID 21288679

- 70. Brott TG, Halperin JL, Abbara S, et al. 2011
 - ASA/ACCF/AHA/AANN/AANS/ACR/ASNR/CNS/SAIP/SCAI/SIR/SNIS/SVM/SVS guideline on the management of patients with extracranial carotid and vertebral artery disease.

A report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines, and the American Stroke Association, American Association of Neuroscience Nurses, American Association of Neurological Surgeons, American College of Radiology, American Society of Neuroradiology, Congress of Neurological Surgeons, Society of Atherosclerosis Imaging and Prevention, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society of Interventional Radiology, Society of NeuroInterventional Surgery, Society for Vascular Medicine, and Society for Vascular Surgery. Circulation. Jul 26 2011; 124(4): e54-130. PMID 21282504

- 71. Brott TG, Halperin JL, Abbara S, et al. 2011
 ASA/ACCF/AHA/AANN/AANS/ACR/ASNR/CNS/SAIP/SCAI/SIR/SNIS/SVM/SVS
 guideline on the management of patients with extracranial carotid and vertebral artery disease:
 executive summary. Stroke. Aug 2011; 42(8): e420-63. PMID 21282494
- 72. Krist AH, Davidson KW, Mangione CM, et al. Screening for Asymptomatic Carotid Artery Stenosis: US Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation Statement. JAMA. Feb 02, 2021; 325(5):476-481. PMID 33528542.
- 73. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). Decision Memo for Carotid Artery Stenting (CAG-00085R). 2005; https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/nca-decision-memo.aspx?NCAId=157.

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisianais an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00155

Original Effective Date: 05/23/2005 Current Effective Date: 08/12/2024

Policy History

I Officy III.	5.01 y
Original Effecti	ive Date: 05/23/2005
Current Effective	ve Date: 08/12/2024
04/05/2005	Medical Director review
04/19/2005	Medical Policy Committee review
05/23/2005	Managed Care Advisory Council approval
08/03/2005	Medical Director review
08/16/2005	Medical Policy Committee review. Coverage eligibility revised to consider
	"coverage for carotid artery angioplasty and stent placement (CAS) in patients who
	can be safely treated or crossed by this approach and have no angiographically
	visible intramural thrombus."
08/24/2005	Managed Care Advisory Council approval
07/07/2006	Format revision, including FDA and or other governmental regulatory approval and
	rationale/source. Coverage eligibility unchanged.
04/04/2007	Medical Director review
04/18/2007	Medical Policy Committee approval. Coverage eligibility unchanged. CMS
	information added to governmental regulatory approval, rationale updated.
04/02/2008	Medical Director review
04/16/2008	Medical Policy Committee approval. No change to coverage eligibility.
04/02/2009	Medical Director review
04/15/2009	Medical Policy Committee approval. No change to coverage eligibility.
04/08/2010	Medical Policy Committee approval
04/21/2010	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility
	unchanged.
04/07/2011	Medical Policy Committee review
04/13/2011	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility
	unchanged.
04/12/2012	Medical Policy Committee review
04/25/2012	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility
	unchanged.
01/23/2013	Coding updated
04/04/2013	Medical Policy Committee review

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00155

Original Effective Date: 05/23/2005 Current Effective Date: 08/12/2024

unchanged.

Coding update

01/01/2019

04/24/2013	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility
	unchanged.
04/03/2014	Medical Policy Committee review
04/23/2014	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility
	unchanged.
05/07/2015	Medical Policy Committee review
05/20/2015	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility
	unchanged.
08/03/2015	Coding update: ICD10 Diagnosis code section added; ICD9 Procedure code section
	removed.
03/24/2016	Coding update: codes 37238 and 37239 will be removed from this policy
05/05/2016	Medical Policy Committee review
05/18/2016	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility
	unchanged.
01/01/2017	Coding update: Removing ICD-9 Diagnosis Codes
07/06/2017	Medical Policy Committee review
07/19/2017	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. For symptomatic, severe
	stenosis (>50% stenosis) or asymptomatic preocclusive disease (> 80%), "in
	patients with anatomic contraindication for carotid endarterectomy (e.g., prior
	radiotherapy or neck surgery, lesions surgically inaccessible," and "spinal
	immobility, or tracheostomy" was added to the first coverage criteria bullet. For
	symptomatic, severe stenosis (>50% stenosis) or asymptomatic preocclusive
	disease (>80%) in a patient with significant medical disease that would make the
	patient high risk for surgery, removed "lesions distal or proximal to the usual
	location" from the criteria. For symptomatic, severe stenosis (> 50%) or
	asymptomatic preocclusive disease (>80%), removed the second coverage criteria
	bullet, "Radiation-induced stenosis (following radiation to the neck or radical neck
	dissection)". For the use of carotid artery angioplasty and stent placement, "Patients
	with carotid stenosis who are suitable candidates for carotid endarterectomy" was
	added to the investigational indications.
07/05/2018	Medical Policy Committee review
07/11/2018	

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



$\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{n}}$	licy	#	00	155
T O	цυν	##	VV	1

Original Effective Date: 05/23/2005 Current Effective Date: 08/12/2024

05/13/2019	Coding update			
07/03/2019	Medical Policy Committee review			
07/18/2019	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility unchanged.			
07/02/2020	Medical Policy Committee review			
07/08/2020	 Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Added "with associated stenting and embolic protection" regarding carotid artery angioplasty for all statements applicable in the coverage section. Added an upper limit of <100% to the Patient Selection Criteria for both symptomatic severe stenosis and asymptomatic preocclusive disease. Added second and third bullets to the investigational indications as follows: Complete occlusion (100% stenosis) of the relevant carotid artery; OR Carotid angioplasty without associated stenting and embolic protection, except for unique situations where the original intent was to perform stenting but anatomic or other considerations prohibited placement of the stent (coverage criteria must still be met); OR 			
07/01/2021	Medical Policy Committee review			
07/14/2021	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility unchanged.			
07/07/2022	Medical Policy Committee review			
07/13/2022	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Transcarotid artery			
	revascularization (TCAR) added to the body of this policy.			
07/06/2023	Medical Policy Committee review			
07/12/2023	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility unchanged.			
07/02/2024	Medical Policy Committee review			

Coding

07/10/2024

unchanged.
Next Scheduled Review Date: 07/2025

The five character codes included in the Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines are obtained from Current Procedural Terminology (CPT^{\otimes}), copyright 2023

Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00155

Original Effective Date: 05/23/2005 Current Effective Date: 08/12/2024

by the American Medical Association (AMA). CPT is developed by the AMA as a listing of descriptive terms and five character identifying codes and modifiers for reporting medical services and procedures performed by physician.

The responsibility for the content of Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines is with Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and no endorsement by the AMA is intended or should be implied. The AMA disclaims responsibility for any consequences or liability attributable or related to any use, nonuse or interpretation of information contained in Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines. Fee schedules, relative value units, conversion factors and/or related components are not assigned by the AMA, are not part of CPT, and the AMA is not recommending their use. The AMA does not directly or indirectly practice medicine or dispense medical services. The AMA assumes no liability for data contained or not contained herein. Any use of CPT outside of Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines should refer to the most current Current Procedural Terminology which contains the complete and most current listing of CPT codes and descriptive terms. Applicable FARS/DFARS apply.

CPT is a registered trademark of the American Medical Association.

Codes used to identify services associated with this policy may include (but may not be limited to) the following:

Code Type	Code
CPT	37215, 37216, 37217
HCPCS	No Codes
ICD-10 Diagnosis	All related Diagnoses

*Investigational – A medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is Investigational if the effectiveness has not been clearly tested and it has not been incorporated into standard medical practice. Any determination we make that a medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is Investigational will be based on a consideration of the following:

A. Whether the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product can be lawfully marketed without approval of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisianais an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00155

Original Effective Date: 05/23/2005 Current Effective Date: 08/12/2024

whether such approval has been granted at the time the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is sought to be furnished; or

- B. Whether the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product requires further studies or clinical trials to determine its maximum tolerated dose, toxicity, safety, effectiveness, or effectiveness as compared with the standard means of treatment or diagnosis, must improve health outcomes, according to the consensus of opinion among experts as shown by reliable evidence, including:
 - 1. Consultation with technology evaluation center(s);
 - 2. Credible scientific evidence published in peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community; or
 - 3. Reference to federal regulations.

**Medically Necessary (or "Medical Necessity") - Health care services, treatment, procedures, equipment, drugs, devices, items or supplies that a Provider, exercising prudent clinical judgment, would provide to a patient for the purpose of preventing, evaluating, diagnosing or treating an illness, injury, disease or its symptoms, and that are:

- A. In accordance with nationally accepted standards of medical practice;
- B. Clinically appropriate, in terms of type, frequency, extent, level of care, site and duration, and considered effective for the patient's illness, injury or disease; and
- C. Not primarily for the personal comfort or convenience of the patient, physician or other health care provider, and not more costly than an alternative service or sequence of services at least as likely to produce equivalent therapeutic or diagnostic results as to the diagnosis or treatment of that patient's illness, injury or disease.

For these purposes, "nationally accepted standards of medical practice" means standards that are based on credible scientific evidence published in peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community, Physician Specialty Society recommendations and the views of Physicians practicing in relevant clinical areas and any other relevant factors.

‡ Indicated trademarks are the registered trademarks of their respective owners.

NOTICE: If the Patient's health insurance contract contains language that differs from the BCBSLA Medical Policy definition noted above, the definition in the health insurance contract will be relied upon for specific coverage determinations.

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00155

Original Effective Date: 05/23/2005 Current Effective Date: 08/12/2024

NOTICE: Medical Policies are scientific based opinions, provided solely for coverage and informational purposes. Medical Policies should not be construed to suggest that the Company recommends, advocates, requires, encourages, or discourages any particular treatment, procedure, or service, or any particular course of treatment, procedure, or service.

NOTICE: Federal and State law, as well as contract language, including definitions and specific contract provisions/exclusions, take precedence over Medical Policy and must be considered first in determining eligibility for coverage.

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisianais an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.